

Summary of responses to consultation on housing requirements methodology – HMA authorities

Local authority	Summary of response	Comments
Blaby District Council	The approach taken in the attached paper does not cause officers at Blaby District Council any particular concerns at present.	Noted
Charnwood Borough Council	No response to date	
Harborough District Council	<p>Note that it is proposed to adjust the housing figures from those in the SHMA to take account of the impact of the proposed Strategic Rail Freight Interchange. Given the location of the proposed SFRI close to many other local authorities it will provide jobs for residents outside North West Leicestershire.</p> <p>Would expect that the Local Plan would be accompanied by more evidence about the likely impact of the SFRI on housing demand across Leicester and Leicestershire and in Nottinghamshire / Derbyshire to ensure the assumptions made are appropriate.</p>	<p>Each local authority in the HMA will, as set out in the SHMA and the MOU, need to have regard to local circumstances in determining their respective housing requirements. In the event that the SFRI was to be approved then this is just one such factor which would need to be considered.</p> <p>It is accepted that the SFRI will provide jobs beyond North West Leicestershire and the approach taken reflects this as it does not assume that all of the jobs created at the SFRI would result in an additional household.</p> <p>It is proposed to undertake further discussions on this matter.</p>
Hinckley & Bosworth Borough Council	Consider that the Strategic Planning Group (SPG) and Members Advisory Group (MAG) should be fully involved in the decision making process regarding any move away from the agreed MOU to inform local plan making processes.	Concerns regarding the process are noted and it is agreed that the HMA as a whole should agree the overall total and distribution of housing. Work is ongoing to align local plans and the evidence bases as much as possible.
Leicester City	No significant comments or concerns with the methodology used which it is noted seek to rationalise the potential interplay of housing and employment growth through increased housing provision	Noted

	<p>over and above the agreed SHMA distribution in the proposals.</p> <p>The City Council does however wish to reserve its position in the light of wider work planned to be undertaken at a HMA wide level which could inform the Local Plan.</p>	
Melton Borough Council	No response to date	
Oadby and Wigston Borough Council	<p>Concerned with the use of a methodology to derive a housing requirement that has not been applied to anywhere else in the HMA. In particular, need to understand what the implications would be for other authorities in the HMA/the HMA as a whole using this methodology in order to determine the reasonableness of the suggested approach.</p>	<p>Both the SHMA and the Memorandum of Understanding note that each local authority needs to have regard to any local factors in determining its housing requirements as part of a local plan. The approach taken has sought to do this.</p> <p>It is proposed to undertake further discussions on this matter.</p>

Summary of responses to consultation on housing requirements methodology – non-HMA authorities

Local authority	Summary of response	Comments
Erewash Borough Council	No response to date	
Lichfield District Council	No response to date	
North Warwickshire Borough Council	No response to date	
Rushcliffe Borough Council	No response to date	
South Derbyshire District Council	Consider that the approach taken is reasonable.	Noted